UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,501	03/03/2008	Peter Wengler	20828/0205159-US0	7940
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 04/23/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	tation	MAI, HUY KIM		
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/562,501	WENGLER, PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Huy K. Mai	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/562,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on Dec. 23, 2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 10, 16, 17 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The limitations in claim 10 should be clarified; otherwise, the context in claim does not draw the preamble "a device for determining an ametropia of an optical system". Similarly, the context in claim 16 does not draw the preamble "a method for determining an ametropia of an optical system".

The limitations in claims 16 should also be clarified; otherwise claim being indefinite because of treatment. Treat what?

It appears the dependency of claim 20 should be -19--; otherwise, the phrase "the further adjusting" (claim 20, line 2) has no antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guirao et al (2002/0140902).

Page 3

The limitations in claims 10-16 are shown in Guirao et al's Figs. 2 and 7, pages 4-10. Guirao et al discloses a device for determining an ametropia of an optical system including an eye comprising means of determination of ocular refraction from wavefront aberration data and design of optimum customized correction wherein the device includes a measurement and control unit for determining an objective aberration, wherein the subject sees through system a Snellen chart to predict the subjective refraction (see [0021] to [0023]) via an optical element including a plurality of optical properties; thus the controllable optical elements including a plurality of optical properties are inherently included in the Guirao et al's device. Guirao et al further discloses the measurement and control unit outputs "the optimal wave aberration of Figs 2 or 3, step 218 and the required refractive correction of Figs. 2 or 3, step 220, the latter can be supplied to a lens fabrication, surgical correction,... or image simulation system 722, which can be prepare a spectacle lens, a contact lens, or an intraocular lens to correct the eye's wave aberration, control a surgical technique upon the eye E to correct the aberration (see [0120]).

Regarding claim 17-20, it should be noted that although claims 17-20 "method claims", the method steps consist of the broad step of "adjusting" etc and therefore this step would be inherently satisfied by the apparatus of the reference.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/562,501 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

/Huy Mai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

HM April 22, 2009